Case 2:20-cv-02349-KSM_ Document 1 Filed 05/19/20 Page 1 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	ORM.)					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				DEFENDANTS					
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION CONTENTS THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known))F	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				FF DEF 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		or Defendo PTF	ant) DEF □ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5	
W. NATURE OF CHUI	n			en or Subject of a reign Country		Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of NKRUPTCY			_
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Otherstone 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TY	LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act C Labor/Management Relations Relations Relations C Teamly and Medical Leave Act C Uter Labor Litigation C Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note: Income Security Act Note: Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note: Income Security Act Other Labor Labor Application C Control Labor Application C C Control Labor Application C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copy 830 Pater 840 Trad 862 Blaci 863 DIW 864 SSII 865 RSI 870 Taxe 870 Taxe 871 IRS	eal 28 USC 158 drawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS vrights at at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark USECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		ment g ced and ions odities/ ctions tters nation ocedure peal of
	moved from 3 tte Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court		, ITALIST	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	1 -	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:				EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND:					
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUE	OGE		

Case 2:20-cv-02349-to street Spectro district file of \$19/20 Page 2 of 10 for the eastern district of Pennsylvania

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:									
Address of Defendant:									
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:									
RELAT	ED CASE, IF ANY:								
Case Nu	ımber: Judge:		Date Terminated:						
Civil ca	ses are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following	g questions:							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?			Yes	No 🗆					
	es this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same to ading or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	ransaction as a prior suit	Yes	No 🗆					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?			Yes	No 🔲					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?			Yes	No 🗆					
	that, to my knowledge, the within case \square is $/ \square$ is not related the except as noted above.	I to any case now pending or w	vithin one year prev	viously terminated action in	1				
DATE: _		Adams, CPCU, Esquire			_				
	Attorney-at-L	aw / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorn	ney I.D. # (if applicable)					
CIVIL:	(Place a √ in one category only)								
<i>A</i> .	Federal Question Cases:	ederal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:							
	Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	 □ 2. Airplane Persona □ 3. Assault, Defama □ 4. Marine Personal □ 5. Motor Vehicle P □ 6. Other Personal In □ 7. Products Liabilit □ 8. Products Liabilit □ 9. All other Diversional 	tion Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify Ity Ity — Asbestos):	_				
	ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to	TION CERTIFICATION remove the case from eligibility j	for arbitration.)						
I,, counsel of record <i>or</i> pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:									
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:									
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.									
				DATE: /s/Charles M. Adams, CPCU, Esquire					
DATE:	/s/Charles M. Ac	lams, CPCU, Esquire			_				
DATE: _		lams, CPCU, Esquire	Attorn	ey I.D. # (if applicable)	_				

Case 2:20-cv-02349-KSM Document 1 Filed 05/19/20 Page 3 of 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

HOME SOLUTIONS, LLC		CIVIL ACTION			
		CIVILACTION			
v.					
	:	NO.			
AVS INSURANCE AGENO	•				
plaintiff shall complete a Ca- filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e- designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par to which that defendant belief	I Justice Expense and Delay Reduct se Management Track Designation Fe a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:0 event that a defendant does not agree hall, with its first appearance, submitties, a Case Management Track Deserves the case should be assigned.	form in all civil cases at the ti 3 of the plan set forth on the re e with the plaintiff regarding t to the clerk of court and ser ignation Form specifying the	me ever	of se id	
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAGEME	NT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury or prop	perty damage from	()	
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks (a) the complex and that need special or integrated of this form for a detailed explan	ense management by	()	
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.					
5/19/2020	Charles M. Adams, CPCU, Esq. Wesminster American				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		_	
215-732-3255	215-732-3260	cadams@lssh-law.com		_	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOME SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AVS INSURANCE AGENCY, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.:

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Home Solutions, LLC ("Home Solutions" or "Plaintiff") by and through its undersigned counsel, comes now before this Honorable Court and files this Complaint against Defendant, AVS Insurance Agency, Inc. ("AVS Insurance" or "Defendant"), and in support thereof avers as follows:

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is an "error or omissions" lawsuit arising out of Defendant's misrepresentation that Plaintiff was named as an additional insured on a general liability policy.
- 2. Plaintiff was the general contractor at a residential construction project located at 1532 North 4th Street in Philadelphia, PA ("the Project").
- 3. During the course of the Project, an accident occurred resulting in serious personal injuries to a laborer working for Plaintiff.
- 4. Prior to the accident, Plaintiff hired an entity identified as Strong Contractors, Inc. ("Strong") as the framing subcontractor for the Project. Strong pridvied a Certificate of Liability Insurance to Home Solutions, evidencing the availability of liability insurance in the event of an accident.

- 5. The Certificate stated that Plaintiff was an additional insured on Strong's liability policy with Crum & Forster Insurance Company for work performed on Plaintiff's behalf at the Project.
- 6. Plaintiff reasonably relied on the Certificate of Liability Insurance in choosing to hire Strong as its framing subcontractor at the Project.
- 7. As it turns out, Plaintiff was never identified as an additional insured on Strong's liability policy.
- 8. A lawsuit was eventually filed against Plaintiff for the injuries sustained in the aforementioned accident. Plaintiff sought a defense from Strong's liability insurer, but was denied coverage, and has since incurred substantial damages in the form of defense costs and exposure to an adverse judgment in the underlying lawsuit, all of which would otherwise be covered under Strong's liability insurace policy had Defendant secured proper and adequate insurance as promised.

II. THE PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff, Home Solutions, is a Pennsylvania limited liability company located at 318 Thyme Lane, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19128. Home Solutions regularly conducts business within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and within this judicial district.
- 10. Defendant, AVS Insurance, is an agent, broker, and producer of personal and commercial insurance services with offices at 1028 Rt. 206, Suite 2, Bordentown, New Jersey 08505. AVS Insurance regularly conducts business within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and within this judicial district.

2

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) because the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and this action is between citizens of different states.
- 12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the events and/or omissions giving rise to the Tyler Action occurred in this judicial district and Defendant regularly conducts business within this judicial district.

IV. FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 13. On or about July 12, 2017, while Tyrone Tyler was cleaning debris on the second floor of the Project as a laborer for Home Solutions, he fell through a hole and sustained serious personal injuries ("the Accident"). Following the accident, on October 17, 2017, Mr. Tyler filed a lawsuit in the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas against Home Solutions and other entities involved in the Project ("the Tyler Action"). See Complaint in the Tyler Action attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Mr. Tyler alleged that Home Solutions and the other entities were negligent in failing to ensure there was appropriate fall protection in the area of the hole.
- 14. During the course of the investigation into the Accident giving rise to the Tyler Action, it was discovered that Strong provided a Certificate of Liability Insurance dated May 26, 2017 ("the Certificate") to Home Solutions, evidencing the availability of liability insurance in the event of an accident like the one underlying the Tyler Action. See Certificate of Liability Insurance attached hereto Exhibit B.
- 15. The Certificate purported to name Home Solutions as an additional insured on Strong's Policy No. BAK-25683-1 ("the Strong Policy") with Crum and Forster Insurance Company ("C&F"). Id.

- 16. In particular, the Certificate designated Home Solutions as the "Certificate Holder," and stated "CERTIFICATE HOLDER IS ADDITIONAL INSURED AS RESPECTS WORK PERFORMED ON THEIR BEHALF" and further specified that the work was in relation to "PROJECT 1532 N 4TH STREET." <u>Id.</u>
- 17. Based on the information in the Certificate regarding Strong's liability insurance, Home Solutions hired Strong as the framing subcontractor for the Project.
- 18. Defendant is listed as the insurance agent who produced the Certificate on behalf of Strong and its liability insurer, C&F.
- 19. In choosing to hire Strong as its framing subcontractor at the Project, Home Solutions reasonably relied on the representation that it was named as an additional insured on Strong's liability policy in the event of an accident such as the one underlying the Tyler Action.
- 20. As it turns out, however, Plaintiff was never identified as an additional insured on Strong's liability policy.
- 21. Plaintiff tendered defense and indemnification of the Tyler Action to Strong's liability insurer, C&F, based on the Certificate's naming Home Solutions as a putative additional insured. C&F refused to defend Home Solutions claiming it did not qualify as an additional insured under Strong's liability policy with C&F. See March 27, 2019 Letter from C&F attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.
- 22. C&F denied coverage to Home Solutions as an additional insured because it was not scheduled as an additional insured on the Policy and there was no written contract with the named insured, Strong. <u>Id.</u>
- 23. Defendant AVS Insurance therefore failed to ensure that Plaintiff was actually scheduled as an additional insured on Strong's liability policy with C&F.

24. Additionally, AVS Insurance failed to inform either Strong or Plaintiff that a contract was required to trigger additional insured status despite its representation to Plaintiff that it was "ADDITIONAL INSURED AS RESPECTS WORK PERFORMED ON THEIR BEHALF" at "PROJECT 1532 N 4TH STREET." See Exhibit B.

COUNT I NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

Home Solutions v. AVS Insurance

- 25. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though same were set forth at length herein.
- 26. As an insurance agent, producer, and broker, Defendant is in the business of regularly supplying commercial information regarding personal and business insurance.
- 27. Defendant provided false information regarding commercial insurance available to Plaintiff by producing the Certificate which stated Home Solutions was listed as an additional insured for the work performed on its behalf by Strong at the 1532 Project. See Exhibit B.
- 28. Home Solutions reasonably relied on the Certificate as evidence that Strong was insured for liability arising out of potential accidents at the 1532 Project, such as the accident which formed the basis for the Tyler Action
- 29. Defendant knew that Home Solutions, as a contractor for whom Strong would be performing work, would rely on the representation that it was named as an additional insured on the Strong Policy.
- 30. Defendant knew Plaintiff, as a contractor for whom Strong would be performing work, is a member of a group for whose benefit the information is supplied.
- 31. However, Home Solutions was never scheduled as an additional insured on the Strong Policy.

5

- 32. Moreover, Home Solutions was never informed that a contract was required to trigger its additional insured status.
- 33. Based on Defendant's expertise as an insurance agent and producer, Home Solutions relied on the representation that it was "ADDITIONAL INSURED [on Strong's liability policy with C&F] AS RESPECTS WORK PERFORMED ON THEIR BEHALF" at "PROJECT 1532 N 4TH STREET." See Exhibit B.
- 34. As a result of Defendant's failure to actually procure the insurance reflected on the Certificate of Insurance that was issued, Home Solutions was denied coverage by Strong's insurer, C&F.
- 35. As a result of Defendants' aforementioned failure to procure insurance in favor of Plaintiff, Plaintiff has not received any insurance coverage from Crum & Forster for the underlying claims. This has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff and/or its principals to incur costs of defense which it otherwise would not have incurred. It is also anticipated that Defendants' failure will cause Plaintiff and/or its principals to incur additional defense and indemnity costs which it otherwise would not have incurred.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant in an amount in excess of \$75,000, together with costs, interest, and attorney's fees, along with such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and/or appropriate.

COUNT II BREACH OF CONTRACT—PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

Home Solutions v. AVS Insurance

36. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though same were set forth at length herein

37. By way of furnishing the Certificate for Strong to provide to Home Solutions,

Defendant made a promise to Plaintiff that it would schedule Home Solutions as an additional

insured on the Strong Policy.

38. As an insurance agent with Defendant should have reasonably expected that the

Certificate would induce action on the part of Home Solutions.

39. The Certificate represented a promise which actually did induce action by Home

Soltuions. Namely, Home Solutions hired Strong as its framing subcontractor based on the

information contained on the Certificate.

40. Injustice can only be avoided by enforcement of the promise.

41. If the promise is not enforced, Plaintiff and/or its principals will continue to incur

costs of defense which it otherwise would not have incurred. Moreover, Plaintiff and/or its

principals will incur additional indemnity costs which it otherwise would not have incurred.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant in an amount in excess of

\$75,000, together with costs, interest, and attorney's fees, along with such other and further relief

as this Honorable Court deems necessary and/or appropriate.

Bv:

Langsan

Dated: May 19, 2020

1 .VW

Charles M. Adams, CPCU, Esquire

& Hollaender LLP

Pa. Identification No. 310405

1818 Market Street, Suite 2610

Philadelphia, PA 19103

T: 215-732-3255

F: 215-732-3260

E: CAdams@lssh-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Home Solutions, LLC